

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,846	10/30/2003	Laurent Massoulie	304871.02	9313
22971 MICROSOFT	7590 03/23/2007 CORPORATION		EXAM	INER
ONE MICROS	SOFT WAY		304871.02 9313  EXAMINER  WALSH, JOHN B	JOHN B
REDMOND, V	VA <sub>.</sub> 98052-6399		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2151	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
2 MC	NITUC	03/23/2007	FLECTRONIC	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 03/23/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

roks@microsoft.com ntovar@microsoft.com a-rydore@microsoft.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/698,846	MASSOULIE ET AL.	MASSOULIE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	John B. Walsh	2151		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address -		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communica BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on and 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ T  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the c	his action is non-final. wance except for formal mat	•	s is	
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the applicati 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	nccepted or b) objected to he drawing(s) be held in abeya rection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). I(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burd * See the attached detailed Office action for a line in the internation of the papplication from the Internation of the papplication from the International Burd * See the attached detailed Office action for a line in the internation of the papplication from the Internation of the papplication for a line in the internation of the papplication from the Internation of the papplication for a line in the internation of the papplication for a line in the internation of the papplication for a line in the internation of the papplication for a line in the internation of the papplication for a line in the internation of the papplication for a line in the internation of the papplication for a line in the internation of the papplication for a line in the internation of the papplication for a line in the internation of the papplication for a line in the internation of the papplication for a line in the internation of the papplication for a line in the internation of the papplication for a line in the internation of the papplication for a line in the internation of the papplication for a line in the internation of the papplication for a line in the internation of the internation of the papplication for a line in the internation of the papplication for a line in the internation of the papplication for a line in the internation of the papplication for a line in the internation of the papplication for a line in the internation of the papplication for a line in the internation of the papplication for a line in the internation of the papplication for a line in the internation of the papplication for a line in the internation of the papplication for a line in the internation of the papplication for a line in the internation of the papplication for a line in the internation of the papplic	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have beer eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National Stage		
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application		

Application/Control Number: 10/698,846

Art Unit: 2151

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims 10-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claim 10 recites a computer program product. However the computer program product encoding a computer program appears drawn to software, which is non-statutory subject matter. The claim lacks the computer program product in combination with an appropriate computer readable medium. See MPEP 2106.01.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1, 10 and 19 recite "replace the logical network link with the proposed logical network link in the overlay network with a reorganization probability". It is unclear how the logical network link is being replaced with the proposed logical network link, since the logical network link connects the active node and the first neighboring node and the proposed logical

Art Unit: 2151

network link connects the first neighboring node and the second neighboring node. Also it is unclear how a link is replaced with a reorganization probability, which is not a link.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 1-28, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,909,700 to Benmohamed et al.

As concerns claims 1, 10 and 19, determining a first cost (figure 8, 10B-10H) associated with a logical network link between an active node (column 3, lines 39-40) and a first neighboring node of the active node within an overlay network, the active node and the first neighboring node communicating through one or more physical network links (figure 8, 802; figure 9); determining a second cost (figure 8, 10B-10H) associated with a proposed logical network link between the first neighboring node and a second neighboring node of the active node within the overlay network; and reorganizing the overlay network to replace the logical network link with the proposed logical network link in the overlay network with a reorganization probability based on the first and second costs and the size of a neighbor list of the active node, the size of a neighbor list of the first neighboring node and the size of a neighbor list of the second neighboring node (column 5, lines 12-32; figure 7, 702 –size of lists

Application/Control Number: 10/698,846

Art Unit: 2151

are taken into account for nodes on network, which includes an active, first and second neighboring node; figure 8, 802).

As concerns claims 2, 11 and 20, wherein the reorganization probability is dependent upon a change in an energy function caused by replacing the logical network link with the proposed logical network link in the overlay network (column 5, lines 12-32).

As concerns claims 3, 12 and 21, wherein determining the first cost comprises: measuring a round trip delay time (column 6, line 54) between the active node and the first neighboring node of the active node within the overlay network.

As concerns claims 4, 13 and 22, wherein determining the second cost comprises: triggering a measurement of a round trip delay time (column 6, line 54) between the first and second neighboring nodes of the active node within the overlay network.

As concerns claims 5, 14 and 23, wherein determining the first cost comprises: determining an available bandwidth (column 6, lines 2-5) in the logical network link between the active node and the first neighboring node of the active node within the overlay network.

As concerns claim 6, 15 and 24 wherein determining the second cost comprises: determining available bandwidth (column 6, lines 2-5) in the proposed logical network link between the first and second neighboring nodes of the active node within the overlay network.

As concerns claims 7, 16 and 25 further comprising: randomly selecting the first neighboring node of the active node from a local address list of the active node (column 25, lines 39-45).

As concerns claims 8, 17 and 26 wherein the overlay network is an unstructured overlay network (column 26, line 48).

Art Unit: 2151

As concerns claims 9, 18 and 28 further comprising: restricting a subset of neighboring nodes of the active node from reorganization (column 14, lines 36-40).

As concerns claim 27, the system of claim 19 wherein the first and second neighboring nodes of the active node are selected from a neighbor list maintained by the active node (column 13, lines 18-19; column 15, line 35; column 15, line 51).

## Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed January 10, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argues Benmohamed et al. '700 do not disclose a first cost, logical network link and active node. The examiner disagrees since the claim terms are given their broadest reasonable interpretation and Benmohamed et al. '700 discloses such limitations at least at Figure 8, 802, Figure 9 and Figure 6B.

The applicant argues Benmohamed et al. '700 do not disclose nodes. The examiner disagrees since Benmohamed et al. '700 discloses such limitations at least at Figure 6B, 616.

The applicant argues Benmohamed et al. '700 disclose a monetary cost and cites col. 2, lines 26-31. Nowhere within this passage do Benmohamed et al. '700 recite that the cost is a monetary cost. Furthermore, even if one were to interpret that the cost is a "monetary" cost it would still be equivalent to the applicant's claimed "cost" since the claims do not limit the term to any particular type of "cost".

Application/Control Number: 10/698,846 Page 6

Art Unit: 2151

#### Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John B. Walsh whose telephone number is 571-272-7063. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zarni Maung can be reached on 571-272-3939. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mohn B. Walsh Primary Examiner Art Unit 2151